



ALLIANCE™

(<https://gsa.rakadev.com>).

Responsibility

Sediment accumulation in earthen shrimp ponds

1 October 2001

By Hugues Lemonnier , Raphaël Brizard , José Herlin and Chantal Mugnier

Research in New Caledonia shows effects of wind, aeration, stocking density




Fig. 1: Pond soil core and its schematic representation. Modified from Munsiri et al. 1995.

Shrimp farming in New Caledonia currently involves ten operating farms covering 450 ha and production of about 1,800 tons of shrimp (*Litopenaeus stylirostris*) in 1999/2000. The two grow-out techniques used are semi-intensive (7- to 11-ha ponds without aeration) and intensive (3- to 4-ha ponds with aeration). Production decreases have been observed several times during the last few years. Pond

soil is considered an important factor influencing water quality and production, and farm managers suspect pond bottom degradation and sediment accumulation as possible causes for these production decreases.

Sampling, analysis

To evaluate this possibility, a sediment study was carried out on 13 earthen ponds from seven farms to assess the amount of sediment accumulated after one to 21 crops. Ten to fifteen soil core samples per pond were collected from various locations of each pond.

Sediment horizons

To study the horizons, core samples were divided into the following segments: 0-1 cm, 1-2 cm, 2-4 cm, 4-8 cm and 8 to 12 and 12 to 16 cm for the thickest cores. Density and water content of each segment were analyzed, and the amount of accumulated dry sediment was calculated considering the thickness, density and water content of the sediment.


Core sampling shows five different layers (as described by Munsiri et al. 1995, Fig. 1). From top to bottom we observed:

- a brown film called the S_0 horizon at the water-sediment interface
- a homogenous reduction zone called the S_r horizon
- a mature sediment called the M horizon
- a grayish transition layer called the T horizon
- a light-brown layer at the bottom of the core made of the so-called “original soil,” the P horizon, left intact by aquaculture activity.

Data on the thickness of the S horizon, of the (M + T) horizons and the amount of total accumulated sediment (S + M + T horizons) over the original soil for each pond are available from the first author.

S horizon

Thickness of the S horizon ranged from 1.3 to 2.2 cm. This layer was derived from sediment buildup over the grow-out period. Increasing shrimp density contributed to a significant buildup of the



S horizon

(Fig. 2) and a reduction of the M horizon. This

effect was attributed to increasing bioturbation of the pond bottom with increasing animal density.

Fig. 2: Quantity of sediment accumulated on S horizon relative to final density of shrimp.

M + T horizon

This horizon is characterized by dark clumps of mud due to the effect of pond bottom tilling between crops. Thickness ranges from 3.1 to 4.8 cm. Rocky zones that could not be plowed in some ponds may explain differences between ponds.

S + M + T horizons

Thickness of total accumulated sediment ranges from 2.0 to 6.7 cm, corresponding to an accumulation of 18 and 83 kilograms per square meter of dry sediment, respectively (Fig. 3). The sediment accumulated during crops 1 and 2 probably came from the pond walls. From crop 2, silting up in the pond was not significant.




Fig. 3: Quantity of sediment accumulated on P horizon relative to crop number.

Sediment distribution

To study the sediment distribution within a pond, thickness of total sediment accumulated on the original soil was classified according to six levels: 0-2 cm ; 2-4 cm ; 4-6 cm ; 6-8 cm ; 8 to 10 cm ; 10 to 15 cm and 15 to 20 cm. Frequency of each level was calculated.

Heterogeneous distribution




Fig. 4: Example of sediment distribution within a pond after two crops (Farm 2, Pond 1).

Distribution of sediment on the pond bottom was often heterogeneous, with more or less extended zones of sludge deposition (Fig. 4). Distribution depended on the intensity and pattern of water circulation (natural and/or managed), with small clay particles settling down where water movement was reduced.

Wind




Fig. 5: Effect of wind on sedimentation processes.

Wind was the main factor influencing water circulation within ponds (Fig. 5). It had a direct effect on sediment deposits in all ponds, aerated or not. If the prevailing wind blew along the long axis of the pond, and/or the pond was wide, heterogeneity of sediment distribution could increase dramatically (Fig. 6A). In extreme cases, wind could result in serious pond bottom erosion.

Other factors

The aeration level applied in intensive ponds played an important role in sediment distribution. It concentrated a sludge mound (Fig. 6B), either in the center of the pond when a circular water current was generated, and/or along one pond wall when it was combined with wind action (Fig. 4). Water

inlets also had a direct effect on sediment distribution. Pond bottom topography, especially “higher zones,” tended to channel the water flow and thus influence silt distribution.

Homogeneous distribution

Fig. 6: Frequency distribution of sediment on pond bottom (F = farm number, P = pond number).

Sediment distribution on the pond bottom was homogenously distributed over the whole pond surface (Fig. 6C) when wind was not a factor or when water circulated against the prevailing wind.

Conclusion

Sediment accumulation on the bottom of shrimp ponds increased dramatically as stocking density increased from 4 to 14 per square meter. However, the accumulation plateaued in the density range from 14 to 25 per square meter, due to the effects of bioturbation. The effects of wind and/or aeration on water circulation helped to maintain and transport these particles to quiescent zones within the pond where they settled out. In some cases, this resulted in a strong heterogeneity of sediment accumulation on the pond bottom.

Sediment accumulation patterns and their evolution result from pond design (orientation, size, water-inlets position, topography) and management applied by the farmer (shrimp density, aeration level and aerator positioning). After several years of activity, the resulting spatial heterogeneity leads to problems regarding harvest, dry out and plowing management. Results of our study did not show an increase in siltation of the pond with successive crops. In addition, no relation was found between the quantity of accumulated sediment and the yield of shrimp.

(Editor's Note: This article was originally published in the October 2001 print edition of the Global Aquaculture Advocate.)

Authors



HUGUES LEMONNIER

IFREMER
BP2059 98846 Nouméa
New Caledonia

hlemonni@ifremer.fr (<mailto:hlemonni@ifremer.fr>).



RAPHAËL BRIZARD

IFREMER
BP2059 98846 Nouméa
New Caledonia



JOSÉ HERLIN

IFREMER
BP2059 98846 Nouméa
New Caledonia



CHANTAL MUGNIER

IFREMER
BP2059 98846 Nouméa
New Caledonia

Copyright © 2023 Global Seafood Alliance

All rights reserved.