Global Seafood Alliance Logo

- GOAL Events
- Advocate Magazine
- <u>Aquademia Podcast</u>
- <u>Blog</u>
- <u>Contact</u>
- _____
- 🗿
- f
- 🗙
- in
- 🔼
- <u>Log In</u>

 \square

- <u>About</u>
 - <u>Who We Are</u>
 - <u>Our History</u>
 - <u>Our Team</u>
 - Sustainable Development Goals
 - <u>Careers</u>
 - Membership
 - <u>Overview</u>
 - Our Members
 - <u>Corporate Membership</u>
- <u>Resources</u>
- <u>Certification</u>
 - Best Aquaculture Practices
 - Best Seafood Practices

Search...

<u>Log In</u>

- <u>About</u>
 - Who We Are
 - <u>Our History</u>
 - <u>Our Team</u>
 - Sustainable Development Goals
 - <u>Careers</u>
- <u>Membership</u>
 - <u>Overview</u>
 - Our Members
 - Corporate Membership
- <u>Resources</u>
- <u>Certification</u>
 - Best Aquaculture Practices
 - Best Seafood Practices
- GOAL Events
- Advocate Magazine
- <u>Aquademia Podcast</u>
- <u>Blog</u>
- Contact



Responsibility

Resistance and resilience of small-scale RAS

Responsible Seafood Advocate logo

3 September 2019 Norulhuda Mohamed Ramli, Ph.D. Christos Giatsis, Ph.D. Fatimah Md Yusoff, Ph.D. Johan Verreth, Ph.D. Marc Verdegem, Ph.D.

Results show algae can be used to manipulate the bacterial community



Results of this study showed that microalgae can be used to manipulate the bacterial community in RAS systems.

The stability of a system can be described as the ability to maintain its functions under changing conditions. In the context of recirculating aquaculture systems (RAS), water quality is an important function which relates to stability. Two properties of stability are system resistance (the ability to withstand a disturbance) and resilience (the speed of recovery of a system to its pre-disturbance state). In RAS, disturbances such as pH, oxygen and temperature changes may occur which will consequently affect stability.

Researches have suggested that a stable RAS is linked to its stable bacterial community since bacterial communities plays a central role in maintaining water quality. In addition, it is known that bacteria interact with other microorganisms in the water which may affect the stability of the bacterial community. Therefore, in this study we hypothesized – based on the shared dependency on ammonium by microalgae and nitrifying bacteria – that microalgae could improve the stability of RAS.

Many studies have shown that the association of microalgae with bacteria could lead to a more stable system as is demonstrated by the microalgaebacterial community in wastewater treatment. And in waste treatment ponds, the existence of the microalgae population is very important for the stability of the symbiotic relationship with aerobic bacteria.

This article – adapted and summarized from the <u>original publication</u> – assessed the role of microalgae on the stability of RAS. We stressed RAS with algae (RAS+A) and without algae (RAS-A) by lowering the water pH from 7 to 4 for three hours. Resistance and resilience of the RAS towards the pH perturbation was calculated by assessing water quality. Additionally, the bacterial communities of RAS+A and RAS-A were compared to determine mechanisms that could explain the RAS stability.

Study setup

2/22/2024

Resistance and resilience of small-scale RAS - Responsible Seafood Advocate

The experiment was conducted at the Laboratory of Marine Biotechnology, Institute of Bioscience, Universiti Putra Malaysia. In the experiment, eight recirculating aquaculture systems (RAS) were used. The RAS had been in operation for 10 weeks before this experiment was conducted.

The four RAS with algae (RAS+A) consisted of a fish tank (65 liters), a hydro-cyclone for fecal solids removal, a moving bed reactor with bio-filter media, two tanks units with algae and a sump. The four RAS without algae (RAS-A) had the same configuration as RAS+A except that the tank for algae was filled with water only. Water from the moving bed reactor flowed into two algae tanks, each receiving half of the water flow (3 liters per minute). Water from the algae tanks flowed to the sump from where it was pumped to the fish tank. A periphytic algae (*Stigeoclonium nanum*) was incorporated in the RAS – it was chosen instead of planktonic algae so that the density of suspended algae in the RAS could be kept sufficiently low to avoid clogging pipes and bio-filters.

The experiment consisted of a period before and after stress. Before the stress (d-1), eight RAS systems were divided over two treatments, RAS with (RAS+A) and RAS without algae (RAS-A). The next day (day 0), two replicates from each treatment were subjected to a stressor (+S) and the other two replicates became the control (no stressor, -S). The stressor that was applied was gradually lowering the pH from 7 to 4 within a period of three to four hours, followed by 3h at pH 4, and thereafter restoring the pH back to 7 within a period of two to three hours. Hence, the whole operation of applying the pH stressor lasted eight to 10 hours in total.

For detailed information on the experimental setup and procedures, microbial analysis (DNA extraction, PCR, and 16 S rRNA metagenomic), data processing and statistical analysis, refer to the original publication.

Results and discussion

There were no significant differences of total ammonia nitrogen (TAN), nitrite-nitrogen (NO_2 -N) and nitrate-nitrogen (NO_3 -N) between the sampling locations. TAN concentrations increased in all systems immediately after the stressor was applied (Fig. 1a). Water discharge was performed to control the level of TAN in stressed systems. However, the same water discharge procedure must also be done to control treatment (non-stressed RAS). Water discharge might cause bacterial wash-out and affected nitrifying bacteria. This might be the reason of TAN increased in control treatment after day 7.

Significant differences of TAN concentrations were explained by the factors algae, stressor and day, but not by sample location. In RAS, ammonium may be removed via three processes; conversion to nitrite and subsequently to nitrate through nitrification, immobilization in bacterial and archaeal biomass, and uptake by algae. Since the experiment did not distinguish which process had caused the reduction of TAN in the RAS, apparent TAN conversion is the term used to describe the process. Apparent TAN conversion rate (Fig. 1b) was significantly affected by the factor stressor. Meanwhile, significant differences of nitrite concentrations were explained by the factors algae, stressor and day, but not by sample location.



Fig. 1: Means and standard deviation (SD) of total ammonia nitrogen (TAN) (mg/L) in recirculating aquaculture systems (RAS). (a) (TAN) concentration (mg/ L). Points which are labeled with asterisk * show significant differences between algae and no-algae treatments and points which are labeled with asterisk "show significant differences between stressed and non-stressed treatments on each day, p < 0.05. (b) TAN conversion rate (mg/L/day).

Results showed that lowering the pH in RAS from pH 7 to pH 4 and maintaining it for three hours disrupted the function of the bacterial communities in the RAS+A and RAS-A as indicated by the deteriorated water quality following the stress application.

Resistance towards the acute pH drop for RAS+A and RAS-A was not significantly different neither for apparent TAN nor for nitrite conversion. The same result was found for the resilience for TAN conversion. However, the resilience for nitrite conversion was significantly higher for the RAS+A than for RAS-A. Therefore, we concluded that the efficiency of ammonium conversion was not different in both treatments.

However, since the nitrite and nitrate concentrations were significantly lower in RAS+A than in RAS-A this might indicate that algae could have absorbed some ammonium, thus less ammonium was available for nitrification, subsequently less ammonium was converted to nitrite and nitrate. Additionally the resilience for nitrite conversion was significantly higher in the RAS+A than RAS-A, indicating that algae had a positive effect on RAS water quality.

Regarding the assimilation rate of ammonium by algae, our results showed that 580 mg algal biomass in the experiment might have assimilated 37 mg ammonium, which was approximately 2.5 percent from the TAN produced by the RAS. When the microbial community was stressed, the uptake of ammonium by algae might stabilize the system and contribute to lower nitrite in RAS+A than RAS-A.

The results from this study strongly suggested that algae influenced the bacterial composition and functions in the RAS as the effect of algae was also observed on day 20 after stress. The ordination of the bacterial communities showed that bacterial communities from RAS+A were separated from the bacterial communities of RAS-A. When bacterial communities were compared between factors algae, location and stressor, the results showed that there were significant differences of bacterial communities for all factors.

For d-1, the dissimilarity between RAS+A and RAS-A was 34 percent, and on d20 it was 44 percent. Fifteen operational taxonomy units (OTUs; an operational definition used to classify groups of closely related individuals) contributed to the top 10 percent from the total dissimilarity between the treatments (Fig 2). *Mycobacterium* sp. and *Novosphingobium* sp. were the two groups that were consistently higher in RAS-A than RAS+A on d-1 and d20 after stress. Meanwhile, *Microbacteriaceae*, *Xanthomonadaceae* and *Verrucomicrobiaceae* were found consistently higher in RAS+A than RAS-A on d-1 and d20 after stress.

Fig. 2: Operational taxonomy unit (OTU) dissimilarity between recirculating aquaculture system (RAS) with (+A) and without (-A) algae. (a) a day before stress (d-1). (b) 20 days after stress (d20). The graphs show abundances of the top 10 percent OTU that contributed to the total dissimilarity. A number of percentage (%) written next to the identity of OTU denoted the percent of contribution to the dissimilarity between the RAS.

From the results, most of the discriminant bacteria that contributed to the differences between RAS+A and RAS–A were heterotrophic bacteria. This could mean that the different bacterial composition might be caused by the dynamics of organic nutrients in the system. This is very plausible since xenobiotic (any foreign substances or exogenous chemicals) degradation and metabolism was the highest discriminant function between RAS+A and RAS-A. Xenobiotic compounds are generally known as chemicals that are not natural to the environment and are regarded as environmental pollutants.

Bacteria that were more dominant in the RAS+A had the ability to degrade organic nutrients originated by microalgae, and our data showed that the presence of algae stimulates bacterial species which metabolize organic compound released by the algae.

We determined *Nitrosomonadaceae* and *Nitrospira* as bacteria involved in autotrophic nitrification; and for heterotrophic nitrification and denitrification, *Rhodococcus, Chryseobacterium, Bacillus, Acinetobacter* and *Pseudomonas* were the groups of bacteria involved (<u>S6 Fig</u>). The presence of *Nitrosomonadaceae* was almost negligible in all RAS. More changes of these bacteria occurred in RAS-A than RAS+A. However, the relative abundance of these bacteria was not significantly different between RAS-A and RAS+A. These bacteria count about 3.5 to 10 percent from the total bacterial abundance and their presence was not affected by algae.

Bacteria which were affected by the algae were mostly from the heterotrophic group. It was expected that the algae concentration was too low to reduce TAN availability for nitrification to measure effects. Therefore, in the future an experiment which will allow a higher immobilization of ammonium by algal biomass should be conducted to be able to measure algae effect on nitrifiers.

Perspectives

Results of this study showed that RAS with and without algae had the same resistance and resilience in restoring to pre-stressor maintenance of low ammonium levels after an acute pH perturbation. Algae supported RAS in keeping the nitrite concentration low before and after the perturbation.

In this regard, we conclude that RAS+A had a better stability than RAS-A. Algae influenced the bacterial community composition in the RAS causing more algal-associated bacteria species to be found in the RAS+A. This suggests strongly that algae can be used to manipulate the bacterial community in RAS.

Now that you've finished reading the article ...

... we hope you'll consider supporting our mission to document the evolution of the global aquaculture industry and share our vast network of contributors' expansive knowledge every week.

By becoming a Global Seafood Alliance member, you're ensuring that all of the pre-competitive work we do through member benefits, resources and events can continue. Individual membership costs just \$50 a year.

Not a GSA member? Join us.

Support GSA and Become a Member

Authors

• 🔊 Norulhuda Mohamed Ramli, Ph.D.

Norulhuda Mohamed Ramli, Ph.D.

Aquaculture and Fisheries Group Wageningen University Wageningen, The Netherlands; and Department of Biological and Agricultural Engineering, Faculty of Engineering Universiti Putra Malaysia Serdang, Selangor, Malaysia

• 📄 Christos Giatsis, Ph.D.

Christos Giatsis, Ph.D.

Aquaculture and Fisheries Group Wageningen University Wageningen, The Netherlands

• 💦 Fatimah Md Yusoff, Ph.D.

Fatimah Md Yusoff, Ph.D.

Laboratory of Marine Biotechnology, Institute of Bioscience Universiti Putra Malaysia Serdang, Selangor, Malaysia; and Department of Aquaculture, Faculty of Agriculture Universiti Putra Malaysia, Serdang, Selangor, Malaysia

• 戻 Johan Verreth, Ph.D.

Johan Verreth, Ph.D.

Aquaculture and Fisheries Group Wageningen University, Wageningen, The Netherlands

Marc Verdegem, Ph.D.

Marc Verdegem, Ph.D.

Aquaculture and Fisheries Group Wageningen University Wageningen, The Netherlands

Share

- 🔀 <u>Share via Email</u>
- Share on Twitter
- F Share on Facebook
- in Share on LinkedIn

Tagged With

microalgae resiliency Norulhuda Mohamed Ramli Christos Giatsis Fatimah Md Yusoff Johan Verreth Marc Verdegem RAS recirculating aquaculture systems stability

Related Posts

Innovation & Investment

A review of unit processes in RAS systems

Since un-ionized ammonia-nitrogen and nitrite-nitrogen are toxic to most finfish, controlling their concentrations in culture tanks is a primary objective in the design of recirculating aquaculture systems.

Intelligence

RAS in the USA: Fad or future?

A rash of large-scale, land-based recirculating aquaculture systems (RAS) are planting their flags on U.S. soil, even though it will take several years and hundreds of millions of dollars of investment before they produce their first sellable fish.

Innovation & Investment

Is RAS the game-changer that Europe's aquaculture sector needs?

The impact that recirculating aquaculture systems, or RAS, will have on European production remains to be seen, but the general vibe is positive.

Aquafeeds

Biofloc and clear-water RAS systems: a comparison

This study compared two types of indoor, shrimp culture systems: clear-water RAS and biofloc systems. Clearwater RAS had the edge in water quality, but shrimp in the biofloc treatment had a higher feed conversion ratio.

About The Advocate

The Responsible Seafood Advocate supports the Global Seafood Alliance's (GSA) mission to advance responsible seafood practices through education, advocacy and third-party assurances.

Learn More

Search Responsible Seafood Advocate Search Search Q





Listen to the seafood industry's top podcast

Advertising Opportunities

2022 Media & Events Kit

Categories

<u>Aquafeeds</u> Health & Welfare From Our Sponsors Innovation & Investment Intelligence Responsibility Responsibility Fisheries Artículos en Español

Don't Miss an Article

Featured

- Health & Welfare An update on vibriosis, the major bacterial disease shrimp farmers face
- Intelligence A seat at the table: Fed By Blue team says aquaculture needs a stronger voice
- <u>Responsibility Quantifying habitat provisioning at macroalgae cultivation locations</u>

Popular Tags

All Tags 🗸

Recent

- Fisheries Second Test: Another filler for the fisheries category
- Fisheries Test: This is filler for the fisheries Category
- <u>Aquafeeds Test Article</u>
- Responsibility Study: Climate change will shuffle marine ecosystems in unexpected ways as ocean temperature warms
- Health & Welfare Indian shrimp researchers earn a patent for WSSV diagnostic tool





Listen to the seafood industry's top podcast

- <u>About</u>
- <u>Membership</u>
- <u>Resources</u>
- Best Aquaculture Practices (BAP)
- Best Seafood Practices (BSP)
- GOAL Events
- <u>Advocate Magazine</u>
- <u>Aquademia Podcast</u>
- <u>Blog</u>
- <u>Contact</u>

Stay up to date with GSA

- 🞯
- •
- X
- in • 🖸
- •

Copyright © 2024 Global Seafood Alliance All rights reserved. <u>Privacy</u> <u>Terms of Use</u> <u>Glossary</u>