Global Seafood Alliance Logo

- GOAL Events
- Advocate Magazine
- Aquademia Podcast
- Blog
- Contact
- 0
- **f**
- X
- in
- .
- Log In

- About
 - Who We Are
 - Our History
 - o Our Team
 - Sustainable Development Goals
 - o Careers
- Membership
 - o <u>Overview</u>
 - Our Members
 - Corporate Membership
- Resources
- Certification
 - Best Aquaculture Practices
 - Best Seafood Practices

Search...

Q

Log In

- About
 - Who We Are
 - o Our History
 - o Our Team
 - Sustainable Development Goals
 - o <u>Careers</u>
- Membership
 - o <u>Overview</u>
 - o Our Members
 - Corporate Membership
- Resources
- Certification
 - Best Aquaculture Practices
 - Best Seafood Practices
- GOAL Events
- Advocate Magazine
- Aquademia Podcast
- Blog
- Contact



Despite Seafood Watch downgrade, few market changes for geoducks

Responsible Seafood Advocate logo

13 March 2017 Naomi Tomky



Monterey Bay Aquarium changes standards regarding use of plastics



An adult geoduck. Photo by Naomi Tomky.

In December, the Monterey Bay Aquarium's influential Seafood Watch program downgraded Pacific geoducks farmed in Washington state and British Columbia from a green, best-choice to a yellow, good-alternative rating. The change surprised and confused many in the field, since it's a segment of the industry generally considered extremely sensitive to sustainability issues.

However, most people close to the industry – including Seafood Watch itself – doubt that sales of the giant clam will register any change in light of the new rating.

As geoduck farming in Washington state has grown – from almost non-existent in 2000 to exporting \$92 million worth of product in 2015 – studies and accreditations like that of Seafood Watch have mostly recognized it as a sustainable, environmentally conscious industry.

It's still a good choice. As long as we're not in red, consumers still feel good about purchasing geoducks.

The Seafood Watch report downgraded geoduck in from green to yellow in just three categories – effluent, escapes and wildlife mortalities – but it was enough to move the needle on the overall score.

"It is largely a result of how they do the assessment," said Bill Dewey, spokesman for the largest farmed shellfish producer in the United States, Taylor Shellfish, on what drove the change.

Ryan Bigelow, the program engagement manager at Monterey Bay Aquarium, admitted that's basically true. In the effluent category, Seafood Watch hadn't included plastics before, but did in this report. In escapes, he explained that they just had more data for this report than previously. The wildlife mortality scores changed because Seafood Watch now takes into account the mortality of intertidal organisms.



An intertidal zone filled with geoducks, with workers seen digging in the background. Photo by Naomi Tomky.

A new focus on plastics

The biggest issue – and where much of the industry's sustainability focus already lay – is on the use of plastics, particularly on debris and microplastics. But even in that regard, the report is somewhat wishy-washy, stating that the impacts are "not well understood," and basing the score partially on that uncertainty.

Dewey contests this, saying the plastics they use are very hard and don't contribute to the microplastic issue and that the local industry's cooperative efforts have turned up very little debris; any that did turn up was tracked and the issue investigated.

"We think, on plastics, particularly, they missed the mark and didn't have all the current information," he said. Even so, Dewey explained that Taylor and others are already moving away from the plastic tubes that were of concern and toward mesh tubes that are the same material as used for oyster bags.

"We respect what they do," Dewey said, noting that they long worked with Seafood Watch on geoducks, though didn't have time to participate when invited on this particular review.

Despite the flurry of activity surrounding the rating change, Dewey doubts that Taylor Shellfish will see any change in sales. "It's still a good choice. As long as we're not in red, consumers still feel good about purchasing geoducks." And, he points out, while Taylor only exports about half their geoducks to Asia, about 90 percent of the industry is focused there and, "The Asian side probably pays less attention."

Bigelow agreed that there would likely be little impact from this change, noting that they still encourage partners to buy product that's earned a yellow rating. He also admits that this report wasn't a matter of the industry changing for the worse, but rather that the standard and methods had been updated. For example, on the topic of escapes, he said, echoing the report, "Best management is in place, but even best management isn't going to cover it."

An example of the type of hard-plastic tubes that are being phased out by Taylor Shellfish. Photo by Naomi Tomky.

Farming techniques constantly evolve

Sean McDonald, who has been researching the ecological impact of aquaculture on geoducks since 2008 at the University of Washington, also admitted surprise regarding the change. His work was used in the study – though not in the categories in which geoducks were downgraded. While he doesn't think that the people who consume geoducks are likely to take notice of the change, he was more surprised at the standards change.

"It's interesting that now that we have more information that largely doesn't show negative impact, now the score is lower," he said.

Part of McDonald's work models potential outcomes, but he worries sometimes their models are misinterpreted to point to terrible facts. To the contrary, he said, he's found that the industry "is always looking for alternative techniques that allow them to meet higher standards," he said. "It's a group of people that is passionate about what they do. Overall they legitimately care about work they're doing and want to be good stewards."

Commercial geoduck farming is a young industry – Taylor was one of the first to do it in the Northwest, beginning in 1991 – and much of the definition of standards and rapidly changing techniques are part of growing pains as it adapts to research and progress. But Dewey backs up McDonald's observations on the industry, saying "Any time science has shown there's a more sustainable way, we've changed."

That change and progressiveness is part of what has grown geoduck exports from negligible at the turn of the millennium to what's soon to become a \$100 million industry.

@GAA_Advocate

Author

• Naomi Tomky

Naomi Tomky

Naomi Tomky is the world's most enthusiastic eater of everything. She writes about food and travel from her Seattle home.

Share

- Share via Email
- **Y** Share on Twitter
- f Share on Facebook
- in Share on LinkedIn

Tagged With

surf clam Naomi Tomky Monterey Bay good alternative geoduck

Related Posts

Innovation & Investment

We built this city on oyster shells

When Seattle decided to rebuild the seawall separating its waterfront from Puget Sound, it turned to a bivalve byproduct for a unique, environmentally friendly material for an hospitable marine habitat. The city wanted its design and materials as pragmatic and beneficial for its underwater residents as for those using the parks, paths and services above ground.

Intelligence

Cup size matters, but for oysters, branding matters more

To name an oyster is to give birth to a brand, essential to stand out in today's raw bar scene. The briny bite-sized morsels are arguably seafood's sexiest offerings, but a memorable moniker (and a quality product) is what keeps them on the menu.

Responsibility

Ailing waterways hail the oyster's return

The Lower Hudson Estuary and Chesapeake Bay, two waterways once home to thriving oyster beds, would welcome the shellfish's return. Aquaculture initiatives in both areas aim to reinvigorate the water and the communities they support.

Responsibility

Social oysters: Aquaculture inspiring communities

Two New England shellfish producers are furthering their innovative social license initiatives, both in their hometowns and in food-insecure regions overseas. Island Creek Oysters and Matunuck Oyster Farm have become admirable aquaculture ambassadors.

About The Advocate

The Responsible Seafood Advocate supports the Global Seafood Alliance's (GSA) mission to advance responsible seafood practices through education, advocacy and third-party assurances.

Learn More

Search Responsible Seafood Advocate Search Search Search



Advertising Opportunities

2022 Media & Events Kit

Categories

Aquafeeds > Health & Welfare > From Our Sponsors > Innovation & Investment > Intelligence Intelligence > Responsibility > Fisheries > Artículos en Español >

Don't Miss an Article

Featured

- Health & Welfare An update on vibriosis, the major bacterial disease shrimp farmers face
- Uncategorized A seat at the table: Fed By Blue team says aquaculture needs a stronger voice
- Responsibility Quantifying habitat provisioning at macroalgae cultivation locations

Popular Tags



Recent

- Fisheries Second Test: Another filler for the fisheries category
- Fisheries Test: This is filler for the fisheries Category
- Aquafeeds Test Article
- · Responsibility Study: Climate change will shuffle marine ecosystems in unexpected ways as ocean temperature warms
- Health & Welfare Indian shrimp researchers earn a patent for WSSV diagnostic tool



- About
- Membership
- Resources
- Best Aquaculture Practices (BAP)
- Best Seafood Practices (BSP)
- GOAL Events
- Advocate Magazine
- Aquademia Podcast
- <u>Blog</u>
- Contact

Stay up to date with GSA

- 🧖
- 🕇
- >
- <u>in</u>
- Copyright © 2024 Global Seafood Alliance All rights reserved.

<u>Privacy</u> <u>Terms of Use</u> <u>Glossary</u>