



- [GOAL Events](#)
- [Advocate Magazine](#)
- [Aquademia Podcast](#)
- [Blog](#)
- [Contact](#)
  
- 
- 
- 
- 
- 
  
- [Log In](#)



- [About](#)
  - [Who We Are](#)
  - [Our History](#)
  - [Our Team](#)
  - [Sustainable Development Goals](#)
  - [Careers](#)
- [Membership](#)
  - [Overview](#)
  - [Our Members](#)
  - [Corporate Membership](#)
- [Resources](#)
- [Certification](#)
  - [Best Aquaculture Practices](#)
  - [Best Seafood Practices](#)

Search...



[Log In](#)

- [About](#)
  - [Who We Are](#)
  - [Our History](#)
  - [Our Team](#)
  - [Sustainable Development Goals](#)
  - [Careers](#)
- [Membership](#)
  - [Overview](#)
  - [Our Members](#)
  - [Corporate Membership](#)
- [Resources](#)
- [Certification](#)
  - [Best Aquaculture Practices](#)
  - [Best Seafood Practices](#)
- [GOAL Events](#)
- [Advocate Magazine](#)
- [Aquademia Podcast](#)
- [Blog](#)
- [Contact](#)



## Fishmeal replacement in diets effective at shrimp farm trials



1 November 2008 Herbert E. Quintero, Ph.D. D. Allen Davis, Ph.D.



## Demonstrations resulted in feed cost reductions



Participating farmers were encouraged to use their traditional feeding and culture procedures.

For shrimp farmers, feed is one of the primary factors influencing the cost efficiency of shrimp farms. One way to improve production economics is to improve the consistency, quality and cost of the feed used at the farm level.

Shrimp farming has been the subject of controversial debates concerning, among other issues, the use of fishmeal in shrimp feeds. Hence, there are pressures to build both ecofriendly and profitable shrimp production systems.

## Reducing fishmeal levels

A common approach to improving the cost effectiveness of feeds, as well as reducing their perceived impacts on the environment, is to remove or reduce the levels of fishmeal used. Given that fishmeal is one of the most costly and controversial ingredients, reducing its use could lower feed costs and improve the environmental image of the aquaculture industry.

In response to this challenge, shrimp nutrition research has steadily worked to define nutritional requirements as well as improve the quality and cost effectiveness of shrimp feeds. At the center of this work has been the reduction or removal of animal protein sources, as they are often costly and highly

variable in terms of quality. Using alternative protein sources, primarily of plant origin, in balanced formulations has resulted in an improvement in the overall nutritional quality of practical diet formulations.

Numerous studies conducted under controlled laboratory conditions and in outdoor greenwater tank systems and experimental ponds have demonstrated the feasibility of replacing fishmeal with no adverse effect on the production performance of white shrimp (*Litopenaeus vannamei*). These trials have also demonstrated that diets with very minimal levels of marine products can be formulated in association with very high (58 percent diet) levels of soybean meal.

## ASA-IM project

Based on these results, the next step is to gain commercial acceptance of the formulations. In order to promote the continued development of quality feed formulations, the American Soybean Association – International Marketing funded a project to transfer feed formulation technologies to the shrimp industry.

The project involved producers in Ecuador, Mexico and Colombia. These top producers of shrimp for the world market exported over 107,000 metric tons (MT) of shrimp to the United States during 2006, which represented over 18 percent of the total U.S. shrimp imports. The study was designed to provide technical assistance to both feed mills and shrimp farmers to allow them to test new formulations and benefit from technical assistance from specialists in the field.

## Regional seminars

With that purpose in mind, regional seminars were conducted in each country to disseminate results obtained in research centers. These seminars led to the identification of producers and feed manufacturers willing to try new practices. This was a critical step, since farmers are often quite reluctant to change their practices and adopt new technologies.

The process started with the identification of feed mills and producers interested in evaluating modified feeds under their own production conditions. Shrimp farmers were asked to compare their traditional feeds against a feed with lower inclusion levels of fishmeal. The levels of inclusion were determined by the producers and feed manufacturer to make them feel more comfortable with the experiment.

Although closely controlling conditions in the real world can be difficult, farmers were asked to follow a protocol that included stocking of paired ponds that were similar in size, use of seed from the same origin and stocking under similar conditions. They were also encouraged to use their traditional feeding and culture procedures.

## Field trials

Initial trials were conducted in Ecuador, Mexico and Colombia in mid- to late 2006. The fishmeal in the participants’ traditional feed formulations was reduced primarily by the addition soybean and corn gluten meal.

### Ecuador

In Ecuador, the Bravo Grande shrimp farm and Inprosa feed mill started with a reduction in fishmeal content of 50 percent from their traditional formulation. Culture conditions (Table 1) were extensive, with a stocking density of 7.2 shrimp per square meter, pond sizes ranging 7.5 to 10.5 ha and a production cycle of 106 days.

### Quintero, Summary of production cycle, Table 1

S.A.	Bravo Grande Ecuador	Acuicola Polo Mexico	Acuacultivos El Guajaro Colombia
Stocking date	April 2006	May 2006	December 2006
Cycle	106 days	185 days	109-121 days
Stocking density	7.2/m <sup>2</sup>	27.5/m <sup>2</sup>	36-42/m <sup>2</sup>
Number of ponds	4/treatment	3/treatment	2/treatment

Table 1. Summary of production cycle information for farm trials.

At the end of this study, the 1.09 grams per week growth rate, 16.54-gram average final weight of the shrimp and reduced feed-conversion ratio of 1.04 reflected improvements over the performance of the control ponds (Table 2). However, at 45.7 percent and 535 kg/ha, survival and pond yield were significantly lower than the control values.

### Quintero, Production summary, Table 2

	Treatment	Survival (%)	Weight (g)	Growth (g/week)	Yield (kg/ha)	FCR
Bravo Grande	50% fishmeal reduction	45.7	16.5	1.09	535	1.04
	Control	58.9	15.6	0.92	657	1.14
Acuicola Polo	50% fishmeal reduction	72.3	18.1	0.68	2,665	2.50

	Treatment	Survival (%)	Weight (g)	Growth (g/week)	Yield (kg/ha)	FCR
	Control	65.3	20.4	0.77	2,937	2.30
Acuacultivos	75% reduction	71.5	10.9	0.65	3,208	1.13
El Guajaro S.A.	50% reduction	69.1	9.6	0.60	2,690	1.26
	Control	72.1	9.9	0.59	2,941	1.14

Table 2. Production summary from farm trials.

These results encouraged the companies to do one more trial, which was also successful. Consequently, the entire farm is now using diets with reduced levels of fishmeal and increased levels of soybean meal.

## Mexico

Trials in Mexico were run at Acuicola Polo, a shrimp farm located in the state of Sonora, with the support of Vimifos, a feed mill located near Obregon. The shrimp producer agreed to try a feed with 50 percent reduction of the usual levels of fishmeal. Culture conditions (Table 1) at this farm were semi-intensive with a stocking density of 27.5 shrimp per square meter and pond sizes of 1 ha. The production cycle was 185 days with partial harvests during the cycle.

Although shrimp survival rose to 72.3 percent on the reduced-fishmeal feed, other performance parameters – weekly growth rate, final shrimp weight and yield – slipped about 10 percent (Table 2). At 2.5, the feed conversion was also not ideal, demonstrating that diet efficiency is only part of the puzzle to improve production.

## Colombia

In Colombia, Acuacultivos El Guajaro S.A., a farm located on the Atlantic Coast, worked with the assistance of Agrinal Colombia S.A., a feed mill with a plant in Cartagena. The trial was conducted in duplicate ponds with diets containing 50 and 75 percent reductions in fishmeal content as compared to traditional diets.

This farm raised its shrimp in a low-salinity environment and used a higher stocking density with 36 to 42 shrimp per square meter. Its production results were maintained at levels similar to those obtained with the farm's traditional feed (Table 2). Average production was 2,950 kg/ha with 70.3 percent survival and FCR of 1.19 (Table 2). In the ponds that received feed with a 75 percent reduction in fishmeal, animal weight and growth, and overall yield were significantly higher than the values for the control ponds.

## Effective replacement

Modifications of the feed formulations used in the demonstrations resulted in feed cost reductions and relatively few effects on production at the farm level. Hence, the replacement of fishmeal with a combination of soybean meal, corn gluten meal and other locally available protein sources was a viable way to reduce feed costs and improve feed quality at the farms.

By transferring feed formulation information as well as improved manufacturing practices to the feed mill, the quality and cost of shrimp feeds were improved. Although quality feed was supplied to all the producers, the poor feed conversion at some sites demonstrated that feed quality is only part of the equation. Feeding practices and general management can be further improved at some sites.

*(Editor's Note: This article was originally published in the November/December 2008 print edition of the Global Aquaculture Advocate.)*

## Now that you've finished reading the article ...

... we hope you'll consider supporting our mission to document the evolution of the global aquaculture industry and share our vast network of contributors' expansive knowledge every week.

By becoming a Global Seafood Alliance member, you're ensuring that all of the pre-competitive work we do through member benefits, resources and events can continue. Individual membership costs just \$50 a year.

**Not a GSA member? Join us.**

[Support GSA and Become a Member](#)

## Authors

-  Herbert E. Quintero, Ph.D.

Herbert E. Quintero, Ph.D.

Department of Fisheries and Allied Aquacultures  
203 Swingle Hall  
Auburn University  
Auburn Alabama 36849-5419 USA

-  D. Allen Davis, Ph.D.

D. Allen Davis, Ph.D.

Department of Fisheries and Allied Aquacultures  
203 Swingle Hall  
Auburn University  
Auburn Alabama 36849-5419 USA

[117,100,101,46,110,114,117,98,117,97,64,97,100,115,105,118,97,100]

## Share

- [✉ Share via Email](#)
- [🐦 Share on Twitter](#)
- [f Share on Facebook](#)
- [in Share on LinkedIn](#)

## Tagged With

[Shrimp fishmeal](#) [D. Allen Davis](#) [Herbert E. Quintero](#)

## Related Posts

[Aquafeeds](#)

### [Alternatives to fishmeal perform well in low-salinity shrimp farm trial](#)

In a white shrimp farm trial in a low-salinity environment, alternatives to fishmeal did not negatively impact the growth, survival or FCR of the shrimp.

[Aquafeeds](#)

### [A look at corn distillers dried grains with solubles](#)

Corn distillers dried grains with solubles are an economical source of energy, protein and digestible phosphorus to reduce feed costs and fishmeal usage.

[Aquafeeds](#)

### [A look at protease enzymes in crustacean nutrition](#)

Food digestion involves digestive enzymes to break down polymeric macromolecules and facilitate nutrient absorption. Enzyme supplementation in aquafeeds is a major alternative to improve feed quality and nutrient digestibility, gut health, compensate digestive enzymes when needed, and may also improve immune responses.

[Aquafeeds](#)

### [A new nutrient for aquaculture, from microbes that consume carbon waste](#)

Biotechnology firm NovoNutrients aims to produce a line of nutraceutical aquafeed additives as well as a bulk feed ingredient that can supplement fishmeal. Its process includes feeding carbon dioxide from industrial gas to a “microbial consortium” starring hydrogen-oxidizing bacteria.

## About The Advocate

The Responsible Seafood Advocate supports the Global Seafood Alliance’s (GSA) mission to advance responsible seafood practices through education, advocacy and third-party assurances.

[Learn More](#)

Search Responsible Seafood Advocate



## Advertising Opportunities

[2022 Media & Events Kit](#)

## Categories

[Aquafeeds](#) [Aquafeeds](#) > [Health & Welfare](#) > [From Our Sponsors](#) > [Innovation & Investment](#) > [Intelligence](#) > [Responsibility](#) > [Fisheries](#) > [Artículos en Español](#) >

## Don't Miss an Article

## Featured

- [Health & Welfare](#) [An update on vibriosis, the major bacterial disease shrimp farmers face](#)
- [Uncategorized](#) [A seat at the table: Fed By Blue team says aquaculture needs a stronger voice](#)
- [Responsibility](#) [Quantifying habitat provisioning at macroalgae cultivation locations](#)

## Popular Tags

All Tags ▼

## Recent






- [Fisheries](#) [Second Test: Another filler for the fisheries category](#)
- [Fisheries](#) [Test: This is filler for the fisheries Category](#)
- [Aquafeeds](#) [Test Article](#)
- [Responsibility](#) [Study: Climate change will shuffle marine ecosystems in unexpected ways as ocean temperature warms](#)
- [Health & Welfare](#) [Indian shrimp researchers earn a patent for WSSV diagnostic tool](#)





- [About](#)
- [Membership](#)
- [Resources](#)
- [Best Aquaculture Practices \(BAP\)](#)
- [Best Seafood Practices \(BSP\)](#)
- [GOAL Events](#)
- [Advocate Magazine](#)
- [Aquademia Podcast](#)
- [Blog](#)
- [Contact](#)

#### Stay up to date with GSA

- 
- 
- 
- 
- 

Copyright © 2024 Global Seafood Alliance  
All rights reserved.

[Privacy](#)

[Terms of Use](#)

[Glossary](#)