### Global Seafood Alliance Logo

- GOAL Events
- Advocate Magazine
- Aquademia Podcast
- <u>Blog</u>
- Contact
- 0
- X
- in
- Log In

- About
  - Who We Are
  - Our History
  - o Our Team
  - Sustainable Development Goals
  - Careers
- <u>Membership</u>
  - o <u>Overview</u>
  - Our Members
  - Corporate Membership
- Resources
- Certification
  - Best Aquaculture Practices
  - Best Seafood Practices

Search...

Q

# Log In

- About
  - Who We Are
  - o Our History
  - o Our Team
  - Sustainable Development Goals
  - Careers
- Membership
  - Overview
  - o Our Members
  - Corporate Membership
- Resources
- Certification
  - Best Aquaculture Practices
  - Best Seafood Practices
- GOAL Events
- Advocate Magazine
- Aquademia Podcast
- **Blog**
- Contact



# Effluent permits commonly required by governments

Responsible Seafood Advocate logo

1 August 2001 Claude E. Boyd, Ph.D. Christopher Jackson, Ph.D.



# 73% of respondents had program to cut water exchange



Collecting effluent water sample after passage through sedimentation area and artificial wetland (background).

A major environmental concern related to shrimp aquaculture is the potential water pollution that results from the release of effluents into natural water bodies. Some governments are issuing effluent permits to shrimp farms and other aquaculture operations in an effort to control such releases. Through its Responsible Aquaculture Program, the Global Aquaculture Alliance has developed effluent quality standards and best management practices intended to reduce the volume and improve the quality of pond effluents.

# **Effluent permits**

Eighteen responses were obtained in time for this preliminary Global Shrimp OP: 2001 report, with five of these from the Eastern Hemisphere. More than half (56 percent) the respondents indicated they were required by their governments to obtain an effluent permit for their shrimp farms, and half were required to monitor water quality as a condition of the permits.

Respondents with no requirement for an effluent permit came from a range of countries in both the East and the West. A requirement to monitor water quality was imposed by a lending agency on 39 percent of the respondents.

# Criteria for permits

All permits had pH as a criterion. Other common criteria were total suspended solids, dissolved oxygen, biochemical oxygen demand, and total nitrogen. Variables and limits in permits generally were similar to those recommended in GAA's Responsible Aquaculture Program.

The most notable exceptions were a total suspended solids limit of 40 milligrams per liter in one permit, and minimum pH limits of 7 and 7.5 in two other permits. Most permits allowed total suspended solids up to 50 milligrams per liter, and pH down to 6.0. Such restrictive limits for these two variables do not seem reasonable, for a minimum pH of 6.0 is usually allowed in effluent permits.

# **Effluent samples**

Effluent samples were usually collected by farm staff and analyzed at the shrimp farm or sent to a private or governmental laboratory. In only one case (in Venezuela), did a regulatory agency come to a farm, take effluent samples, and analyze them. According to the survey results, reporting of effluent-quality data was normally done at intervals of one month or less. Only one permit allowed quarterly reporting.

# Calculating effluent loads

Six Six respondents reported they were required to calculate and report effluent loads of selected variables, but only three of these (from Belize, Mexico and Australia) also measured the effluent volume, which is necessary to compute loads. Only 46 percent of respondents measured effluent volume necessary for computing loads.

# Water quality

Fifteen participants in the survey provided typical concentrations of water quality variables in effluents. The usual concentration ranges were: pH, 7 to 9; dissolved oxygen, 3 to 7 milligrams per liter; total nitrogen, 1-4 milligrams per liter; total phosphorus, 0.1 to 0.3 milligrams per liter; total suspended solids, 20 to 60 milligrams per liter; biochemical oxygen demand, 10 to 30 milligrams per liter. These findings are encouraging, because the values are generally usual concentrations of water quality variables in effluents in compliance with suggested GAA effluent standards.

# Water exchange



Sump pump for water reuse after passage through sedimentation pond and artificial wetlands.

All participants in the survey practiced water exchange, with average exchange rates given as 5 percent or less by 68 percent of respondents. Almost threefourths (73 percent) of participants said they have a program to reduce water exchange below present levels.

## Effluent treatment

The main method of effluent treatment was the use of settling basins. Half the respondents reported that they had installed settling basins. These basins normally were used to treat effluent before discharge into natural water bodies, but two respondents used settling basins to treat water before reusing it. Slightly more than half of those in the survey replied that settling basins had been installed voluntarily. Only 30 percent of those responding said that final effluent outfalls were in mangrove or other sensitive ecological habitats.

# Culture of other species

About 20 percent responded that they cultured other species, such as bivalves or seaweed in discharge canals or settling basins, to improve water quality. However, none of the farms generated significant income from this activity.

## Water reuse

Water reuse is not common. Only three respondents – in Australia, Belize, and India – practiced water reuse. On two of the farms, only water released during normal water exchange was reused. For the remaining farm, water discharged when ponds are drained for harvest also was reused.

### Conclusion

At this early stage of the Global Shrimp OP: 2001 program, the survey revealed several pertinent points. Permits for effluent discharge from shrimp farms are common. Although farms are trying to reduce water exchange rates, water reuse is seldom practiced. Settling basins are the most common method of effluent treatment.

(Editor's Note: This article was originally published in the August 2001 print edition of the Global Aquaculture Advocate.)

# Now that you've finished reading the article ...

... we hope you'll consider supporting our mission to document the evolution of the global aquaculture industry and share our vast network of contributors' expansive knowledge every week.

By becoming a Global Seafood Alliance member, you're ensuring that all of the pre-competitive work we do through member benefits, resources and events can continue. Individual membership costs just \$50 a year.

#### Not a GSA member? Join us.

Support GSA and Become a Member

#### **Authors**

Claude E. Boyd, Ph.D.

Claude E. Boyd, Ph.D.

Department of Fisheries and Allied Aquacultures Auburn University Auburn, Alabama, USA ceboyd@acesag.auburn.edu

[117,100,101,46,110,114,117,98,117,97,64,49,101,99,100,121,111,98]

Christopher Jackson, Ph.D.

Christopher Jackson, Ph.D.

**CSIRO** Marine Laboratory Cleveland, Queensland, Australia

[117,97,46,111,114,105,115,99,46,101,110,105,114,97,109,64,110,111,115,107,99,97,106,46,115,105,114,104,99]

### Share

- **Share via Email** ✓
- Share on Twitter
- Share on Facebook
- in Share on LinkedIn

#### Tagged With

Christopher Jackson effluent Claude E. Boyd

### **Related Posts**

Responsibility

## Aquaculture effluent partially replaces growing mix for plant production

Aquaculture effluent can be an effective fertilizer in land-based plant production. Research with tilapia utilized dewatered aquaculture effluent (A.E.) as a partial substrate replacement for tomato plant seedlings. Seedling growth decreased when effluent replaced a commercial growing mix at 25 percent or

Responsibility

## A look at various intensive shrimp farming systems in Asia

The impact of diseases led some Asian shrimp farming countries to develop biofloc and recirculation aquaculture system (RAS) production technologies. Treating incoming water for culture operations and wastewater treatment are biosecurity measures for disease prevention and control.

Responsibility

# Cage design, placement affect water quality

Farm cages should be sited where water quality is good and water velocity is adequate. The size, shape and position of cages should be selected to favor rapid flushing.

Responsibility

# **Effluent effects from aquaculture ponds**

In general, lower-intensity pond and cage farming tends to discharge higher overall pollution loads in farm effluents than closed aquaculture systems.

#### **About The Advocate**

The Responsible Seafood Advocate supports the Global Seafood Alliance's (GSA) mission to advance responsible seafood practices through education, advocacy and third-party assurances.

Search **Q** 

#### Learn More



### **Advertising Opportunities**

2022 Media & Events Kit

#### Categories

Aquafeeds > Health & Welfare > From Our Sponsors > Innovation & Investment > Intelligence > Responsibility Responsibility > Fisheries > Artículos en Español >

#### Don't Miss an Article

#### Featured

- Health & Welfare An update on vibriosis, the major bacterial disease shrimp farmers face
- Intelligence A seat at the table: Fed By Blue team says aquaculture needs a stronger voice
- Responsibility Quantifying habitat provisioning at macroalgae cultivation locations

### **Popular Tags**

All Tags

#### Recent

- Fisheries Second Test: Another filler for the fisheries category
- <u>Fisheries Test: This is filler for the fisheries Category</u>
- **Aquafeeds Test Article**
- Responsibility Study: Climate change will shuffle marine ecosystems in unexpected ways as ocean temperature warms
- Health & Welfare Indian shrimp researchers earn a patent for WSSV diagnostic tool



- <u>About</u>
- <u>Membership</u>
- Best Aquaculture Practices (BAP)
- Best Seafood Practices (BSP)
- **GOAL Events**
- **Advocate Magazine**
- Aquademia Podcast
- Blog
- Contact

#### Stay up to date with GSA





• ©
• f
• X
• in

Copyright © 2024 Global Seafood Alliance All rights reserved. Privacy
Terms of Use
Glossary