#### Global Seafood Alliance Logo

- GOAL Events
- Advocate Magazine
- Aquademia Podcast
- <u>Blog</u>
- Contact
- 0
- **f**
- X
- in
- .
- Log In

- About
  - Who We Are
  - Our History
  - o Our Team
  - Sustainable Development Goals
  - o Careers
- Membership
  - o <u>Overview</u>
  - Our Members
  - Corporate Membership
- Resources
- Certification
  - Best Aquaculture Practices
  - Best Seafood Practices

Search...

Q

## Log In

- About
  - Who We Are
  - o Our History
  - o Our Team
  - Sustainable Development Goals
  - Careers
- Membership
  - Overview
  - o Our Members
  - Corporate Membership
- Resources
- Certification
  - Best Aquaculture Practices
  - Best Seafood Practices
- GOAL Events
- Advocate Magazine
- Aquademia Podcast
- <u>Blog</u>
- Contact



# Consider feed quality, not just cost

Responsible Seafood Advocate logo

2 September 2012 Scott Snyder, Ph.D. Thomas R. Zeigler, Ph.D.



# Consider feed as the vehicle that carries your fish to the finish line



The use of high-quality feed can offer many benefits to a farm's bottom line.

Farm managers and biologists rely on many metrics to assess animal growth performance and farm productivity. These include growth rate, feed conversion, muscle yield and viscera weight. These metrics measure the growth efficiency of the animals being reared. Measurements of feed use, electricity, fuel, labor, taxes and other expenses help determine the total cost of production.

All of these measurements should be used in making management decisions based on controlling costs. However, they should not be the primary factors in making feed purchase decisions. Feeding decisions based strictly on cost analysis can cause farms to purchase inexpensive, low-quality feed that cannot deliver optimum growth performance.

# **Growth cycles**

Aquaculture growth has averaged 8.5 percent yearly for decades. When we look at this growth relative to advances in technology, we see the growth was not linear, but occurred as a series of technology jumps, each followed by a period of much slower growth until the next big breakthrough occurred. The lulls in growth were periods of diminishing returns during which the previous technology was being fine tuned and the next breakthrough was under development.

Aquafeed technology is currently passing through one of these periods of diminishing returns, and the market has filled with cheaper versions of the best-performing products. They claim to deliver the same performance for less. During these periods it takes greater investment for each unit of gain. As farm costs are increasingly scrutinized, it is common for feed to be viewed as a cost, not an investment.

## Real value

When filling their vehicles with fuel, many people seek the cheapest fuel available. After all, any gas of equal octane should perform the same in our vehicles, regardless of price or brand.

However, when we purchase a new vehicle, we are often willing to pay a premium price for a vehicle that is capable of performing more efficiently or lasting longer. This new vehicle has value in which we are willing to invest.

The common perception in aquaculture is that higher profits can be made by spending less money on feed. As in the fuel example, feed is often considered a commodity item. But try to consider feed as the vehicle that carries your fish to the finish line and therefore must provide value. That value comes in the form of rearing more fish to market size with less feed in less time.

## Feed potential

Feed costs can contribute up to 60 percent of the expenses for an aquaculture business. It seems logical that a reduction in feed costs could significantly reduce overall costs and improve profits, but this is not the case. Farm profits can be improved significantly by using feeds that perform up to their potential.

How can you determine if your feed is performing up to its potential? The easiest way is to calculate the theoretical feed-conversion ratio (FCR) of your feed using the information provided on the feed label. The first step is to determine the digestible energy level of the diet (Table 1). Once this value is calculated, simple divide 3,745 (kcal required to rear 1 kg of fish) by the kcal/kg of your diet. For the example in Table 1, the theoretical FCR would be 1.24.

# Snyder, Calculation of digestible energy, Table 1

Nutrient	% of Feed	l Digestible Energy (kcal/g	) Energy Content Contribution
Protein	36	5.0	180.0
Fat	6	8.5	51.0
Fiber	4	0	0
Moisture	10	0	0
Ash	12	0	0
Carbohydrates	s 32	2.2	70.4
Total	100	Per 100 g	301.4
		Per lb	1,368.0
		Per kg	3,014.0

Table 1. Calculation of digestable energy content of feed.

## Feed as investment

Better-performing feeds cost more but can improve farm profitability. Spending less only leads to increased savings, not increased profits.

The example provided in Table 2 is data from an intensive farm raising tilapia in the United States. It shows a situation in which basing a feed decision on cost as opposed to profit potential could lead to the wrong decision.

# Snyder, Profitability differences, Table 2

	Diet 36-6	Diet 40-10	Difference	Difference
Input Data				
Animals stocked	100,000	100,000	_	0
Gain/day (g)	2.94	3.35	0.41	13.9%
Average market weight (g)	681	681	_	0
Survival (%)	98	98	_	0
Feed-conversion ratio	1.24	1.11	(0.13)	-10.8%
Fingerling cost (U.S. \$)	0.09	0.09	_	0
Initial weight (g)	0.5	0.5	_	0
Market value (U.S. \$/kg)	2.50	2.50	_	0
Feed cost (U.S. \$/kg)	0.704	0.845	0.141	20.0%
Overhead/day/fish (U.S. \$)	0.0022	0.0022	_	0
Calculations				
Days in cycle	231.46	203.13	(28.33)	-12.2%
Total weight (kg)	66,738.00	66,738.00	_	0
Value at market (U.S. \$)	166,845.00	166,845.00	_	0
Cost of fingerlings (U.S. \$)	9,000.00	9,000.00	_	0

	Diet 36-6	Diet 40-10	Difference	Difference
Feed fed (kg)	82,863.41	73,934.37	(8,929.03)	-10.8%
Cost of feed (U.S. \$)	58,335.84	62,459.76	4,123.92	7.1%
Overhead costs (U.S. \$)	50,921.00	44,689.55	(6,232,22)	-13.9%
Results				
Fingerling cost/kg marketed (U.S. \$)	0.135	0.135	_	0
Feed cost/kg marketed (U.S. \$)	0.874	0.936	0.062	7.1%
Overhead cost/kg (U.S. \$)	0.763	0.670	(0.093)	-12.2%
Profit				
Income over fingerling, feed and overhead costs	48,587.39	50.695.69	2,108.30	4.3%
Other Factors/Adjustments				
Additional growth opportunity (U.S. \$)			4,744.98	14.1%
Advantage for 40-10 diet			6,853.28	14.1%

Table 2. Profitability differences between tilapia fed a diet containing 36 percent crude protein and 6% lipid (36-6) or a diet with 40 percent crude protein and 10 percent lipid (40-10).

The goals of this facility were to shorten the production cycle, increase growth rate, reduce pollution and reduce total production costs. Several cost increases may catch your attention, such as the 20.0 percent difference in the feed prices, a 7.1 percent increase in total feed cost and a 7.1 percent increase in feed cost per kilogram of fish marketed. Most managers using these figures would conclude that having higher profits with a more expensive feed would not be possible.

However, better feed delivered several valuable returns that more than offset the increased costs. The feed-conversion ratio was improved by 10.8 percent, and the cycle was shortened 28 days. About 10.8 percent less feed was fed. Most significantly, total overhead costs were reduced by 13.9 percent.

Gross profits were improved by 4.30 percent, and if you factor in the value of the shorter cycle, the overall advantage can be valued as a 14.1 percent increase. Scrutinizing this feed decision from the standpoint of cost analysis clearly gave the wrong answer.

# Next breakthrough

As aquaculture continues to grow, and we learn to increase the efficiency of our limited land, water and feed ingredient resources, we inadvertently dig ourselves a deeper hole with respect to the sustainability of aquaculture. The reliance of the aquafeed industry on marine ingredients for proteins and fats has decreased significantly over the last 20 years, but over the same time period, total aquaculture production has grown and effectively increased the use of marine-based ingredients. This is not a sustainable practice, nor will it allow aquaculture to take advantage of the 40-mmt seafood shortfall that is predicted by 2030.

Aquaculture is now big enough that science is breeding plants with nutrient profiles suited for aquaculture animals, and the algae industry is beginning to consider the aquafeed industry as a viable option for marketing their products and co-products. Only when aquaculture is trading in ingredients that are controlled by the aquaculture industry as opposed to relying on global commodities can aquaculture grow sustainably and push through this era of diminishing returns.

(Editor's Note: This article was originally published in the September/October 2012 print edition of the Global Aquaculture Advocate.)

#### Authors

• Scott Snyder, Ph.D.

Scott Snyder, Ph.D.

Animal Nutritionist Zeigler Bros., Inc. P. O. Box 95

Gardners, Pennsylvania 17324 USA

[109,111,99,46,100,101,101,102,114,101,108,103,105,101,122,64,114,101,100,121,110,115,46,116,116,111,99,115]



Thomas R. Zeigler, Ph.D.

Senior Technical Advisor Past President & Chairman Zeigler Bros., Inc. P. O. Box 95 Gardners, Pennsylvania 17324 USA

#### Share

- Share via Email
- **Y** Share on Twitter
- **f** Share on Facebook
- in Share on LinkedIn

#### **Tagged With**

Scott Snyder value feed aquafeed cost Thomas R. Zeigler growth

#### **Related Posts**

Health & Welfare

## A holistic management approach to EMS

Early Mortality Syndrome has devastated farmed shrimp in Asia and Latin America. With better understanding of the pathogen and the development and improvement of novel strategies, shrimp farmers are now able to better manage the disease.

Aquafeeds

## A look at protease enzymes in crustacean nutrition

Food digestion involves digestive enzymes to break down polymeric macromolecules and facilitate nutrient absorption. Enzyme supplementation in aquafeeds is a major alternative to improve feed quality and nutrient digestibility, gut health, compensate digestive enzymes when needed, and may also improve immune responses.

Health & Welfare

## A look at tilapia aquaculture in Ghana

Aquaculture in Ghana has overcome its historic fits and starts and is helping to narrow the gap between domestic seafood production and consumption. Production is based on Nile tilapia.

Intelligence

## Adding value to tilapia to tap into U.S. market

New markets for tilapia and expansion of existing ones can be created by planning and implementing properly designed geographic strategies to meet discriminating consumer preferences. Low labor costs in most producing countries promotes value-adding by the production of fresh fillets.

#### **About The Advocate**

The Responsible Seafood Advocate supports the Global Seafood Alliance's (GSA) mission to advance responsible seafood practices through education, advocacy and third-party assurances.

## Learn More

Search Responsible Seafood Advocate Search Search Q



#### **Advertising Opportunities**

2022 Media & Events Kit

#### Categories

Artículos en Español > Health & Welfare > From Our Sponsors > Innovation & Investment > Intelligence > Responsibility > Fisheries > Artículos en Español >

### Don't Miss an Article

#### Featured

- Health & Welfare An update on vibriosis, the major bacterial disease shrimp farmers face
- Intelligence A seat at the table: Fed By Blue team says aquaculture needs a stronger voice
- Responsibility Quantifying habitat provisioning at macroalgae cultivation locations

#### **Popular Tags**



## Recent

- Fisheries Second Test: Another filler for the fisheries category
- Fisheries Test: This is filler for the fisheries Category
- Aquafeeds Test Article
- · Responsibility Study: Climate change will shuffle marine ecosystems in unexpected ways as ocean temperature warms
- Health & Welfare Indian shrimp researchers earn a patent for WSSV diagnostic tool



- About
- Membership
- Resources
- Best Aquaculture Practices (BAP)
- Best Seafood Practices (BSP)
- GOAL Events
- Advocate Magazine
- Aquademia Podcast
- <u>Blog</u>
- Contact

## Stay up to date with GSA

- 🧔
- 🕇
- »

Copyright © 2024 Global Seafood Alliance All rights reserved.

<u>Privacy</u> <u>Terms of Use</u> <u>Glossary</u>